

# Formal Verification of Nonlinear Inequalities with Taylor Interval Approximations

Alexey Solovyev, Thomas Hales

University of Pittsburgh

NASA Formal Methods Symposium, May 15, 2013

# Main Results

- Implementation of a tool in HOL Light for a complete formal verification of nonlinear inequalities.
- The tool can verify general multivariate polynomial and non-polynomial inequalities in the form

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x} \in D \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0.$$

where  $D = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i\} = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ .

- Formal verification of nonlinear inequalities in the Flyspeck project (a formal proof of the Kepler conjecture).
- The tool can be downloaded from the Flyspeck project repository at <http://code.google.com/p/flyspeck/downloads/list>

# Examples of Verified Inequalities

## General Inequalities

- A polynomial inequality

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{2}, \quad -\sqrt{\pi} \leq y \leq 1$$

$$\implies x^2y - xy^4 + y^6 + x^4 - 7 > -7.17995$$

- A non-polynomial inequality

$$0 \leq x \leq 1 \implies \arctan(x) - \frac{x}{1 + 0.28x^2} < 0.005$$

# Examples of Verified Inequalities

## Flyspeck Inequalities

Define  $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_6) = x_1 x_4 (-x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + x_5 + x_6)$   
 $+ x_2 x_5 (x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - x_5 + x_6)$   
 $+ x_3 x_6 (x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 + x_5 - x_6)$   
 $- x_2 x_3 x_4 - x_1 x_3 x_5 - x_1 x_2 x_6 - x_4 x_5 x_6,$

$$\Delta_y(y_1, \dots, y_6) = \Delta(y_1^2, \dots, y_6^2), \quad \Delta_4 = \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_4},$$

$$\text{dih}(y_1, \dots, y_6) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan_2 \left( \sqrt{4y_1^2 \Delta_y(y_1, \dots, y_6)}, -\Delta_4(y_1^2, \dots, y_6^2) \right).$$

Let  $D = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^6 \mid 2 \leq x_i \leq 2.52\}$ , then

$$\forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in D \implies \text{dih}(\mathbf{x}) < 1.893,$$

$$\forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in D \implies \Delta_y(\mathbf{x}) > 0.$$

# HOL Light

- The system is implemented in the OCaml programming language.
- A very simple logical core (less than 700 lines of code).
- Contains a large library of formalized theorems.
- John Harrison, the developer of HOL Light, contributed a lot to the Flyspeck project by proving many important foundational theorems in HOL Light.

# The Kepler Conjecture and the Flyspeck Project

## Theorem

*No packing of congruent balls in Euclidean three dimensional space has density greater than that of the face-centered cubic packing.*

The maximum density is  $\pi/\sqrt{18} \approx 0.74$

- In 1611, Johannes Kepler formulated the conjecture.
- In 1831, Gauss established a special case of the conjecture.
- In 1953, Fejes Tóth formulated a general strategy to confirm the Kepler conjecture.
- In 1998, Thomas Hales solved the conjecture (published in 2006).
- In 2003, Hales launched the Flyspeck project.

# The Flyspeck Project

- The goal of the Flyspeck project is a complete formal verification of the Kepler conjecture.
- The name of the project comes from the matching of the pattern F\*P\*K (Formal Proof of Kepler) against the English dictionary.
- There are 985 nonlinear inequalities in the Flyspeck project.
- Involve arctangents, arccosines, square roots, rational expressions.
- 6–9 variables. Most inequalities contain 6 variables.
- Each inequality has the following form:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \implies f_1(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \vee \dots \vee f_k(\mathbf{x}) < 0.$$

- The official website: <http://code.google.com/p/flyspeck/>

# Overview of Verification Methods

## Methods

- Interval arithmetic.
- Interval arithmetic with Taylor approximations.
- Bernstein polynomials.
- Subdivision of domains.



# Overview of Verification Methods

## Some existing formalizations

- Univariate inequalities in PVS based on Taylor interval arithmetic: Marc Daumas, David Lester, and César Muñoz, *Verified real number calculations: A library for interval arithmetic*
- Multivariate polynomial inequalities in PVS based on Bernstein polynomials.
  - ▶ César Muñoz and Anthony Narkawicz, *Formalization of a Representation of Bernstein Polynomials and Applications to Global Optimization*
  - ▶ Roland Zumkeller's optimization program Sergei  
<http://code.google.com/p/sergei/>

# Interval Arithmetic

## Example

Prove  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \geq 0$  when  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ .

Interval computations yield:

$$0 \leq x_1^2 \leq 4, \quad 0 \leq x_2^2 \leq 1,$$

$$0 \leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 5$$

and the inequality follows.

## Dependency problem

Compute an interval for  $x - x$  when  $0 \leq x \leq 2$ .

We get  $-2 \leq x - x \leq 2$ , meanwhile the best answer is  $0 \leq x - x \leq 0$ .

Intervals become wide very quickly.

# Interval Arithmetic with Taylor Approximations

$$f(x) = f(y) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f^{(i)}(y)(x-y)^i}{i!} + \text{error}.$$

To find an interval bound of  $f(x)$  on a domain  $a \leq x \leq b$ , find interval bounds of  $f(y), f'(y), \dots, f^{(k)}(y)$  and an interval bound of the error term for all  $a \leq x \leq b$ .

## Example

$$f(x) = x - x^2, \quad 0.1 \leq x \leq 0.3, \quad y = 0.2$$

We find  $f(y) = 0.16$ ,  $f'(y) = 0.6$ , and  $f''(x) = -2$  for all  $x$ .

$$0.16 - 0.6 \times 0.1 - \frac{1}{2} \times 0.1^2 \times 2 \leq f(x) \leq 0.16 + 0.6 \times 0.1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 0.1^2 \times 2,$$

Taylor approximation:  $0.09 \leq x - x^2 \leq 0.23$  when  $0.1 \leq x \leq 0.3$ .

Interval arithmetic:  $0.01 \leq x - x^2 \leq 0.29$ .

Exact result:  $0.09 \leq x - x^2 \leq 0.21$ .

## Domain Subdivision

- To improve the accuracy of estimates (in all methods above), the domain of interest can be subdivided into smaller domains and estimates are computed on each subdomain.
- If a strict inequality  $f(\mathbf{x}) < r$  holds on a domain

$$D = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \{a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i\},$$

then all method presented above will prove this inequality if  $D = \cup D_i$  is divided into sufficiently small subdomains  $D_i$  (conditions on  $f$  are also required, like  $f \in C^2(D)$ ).

### Example (Interval Arithmetic)

Prove  $x^2 > -10^{-10}$  when  $x \in [-1, 2]$ .

Interval arithmetic gives:  $x \in [-1, 2] \implies -2 \leq x \leq 4$ .

Divide the domain into two subdomains:  $[-1, 2] = [-1, 0] \cup [0, 2]$ .

Interval arithmetic:  $x \in [-1, 0] \implies 0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $x \in [0, 2] \implies 0 \leq x \leq 4$ , and the inequality follows.

# Main Estimate

Consider a rectangular domain

$$D = \{a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Take  $\mathbf{y} \in D$  and find  $\mathbf{w}$  s.t.  $\mathbf{w} \geq 0$  and  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \leq \mathbf{w}$  (componentwise). Denote partial derivatives of  $f$  as  $f_i$ , second partial derivatives as  $f_{ij}$ .

## Theorem

Suppose  $f \in C^2(D)$  and  $|f_{ij}(\mathbf{x})| \leq d_{ij}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in D$ . Then

$$\forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in D \implies \left| f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(\mathbf{y})| w_i \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n d_{ij} w_i w_j.$$

To compute an interval bound of  $f$  on  $D$ , it is required to compute intervals for  $f(\mathbf{y})$ ,  $f_i(\mathbf{y})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $f_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in D$ ).

# Verification Procedure

Goal: verify  $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  on  $D = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ .

- 1  $y := (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})/2$ . Find  $\mathbf{w} \geq 0$  s.t.  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{w}$ .
- 2 Find an upper bound  $u$  of  $f$  with the Taylor approximation.
- 3 If  $u < 0$ , then done. Otherwise [4]
- 4 Find  $j$  s.t.  $w_j \geq w_i$  for all  $i$ . Let  $D^{(1)} = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}^{(1)}]$  and  $D^{(2)} = [\mathbf{c}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}]$  where  $c_i^{(1)} = b_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $c_j^{(1)} = y_j$ ;  $c_i^{(2)} = a_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $c_j^{(2)} = y_j$ .
- 5 Repeat the procedure for  $D = D^{(1)}$  and for  $D = D^{(2)}$ .

# Monotonicity Arguments

## Decreasing function

If  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  on  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ , then it is sufficient to verify  $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  on  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}]$  where  $c_i = b_i, i \neq k, c_k = a_k$ .

## Increasing function

If  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  on  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ , then it is sufficient to verify  $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  on  $[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}]$  where  $c_i = a_i, i \neq k, c_k = b_k$ .

# Formalization Overview

- Formal Taylor intervals.
- Solution certificates.
  - ▶ Computed informally.
  - ▶ An input for a formal verification procedure.
- Formal verification procedures.



# Formal Taylor Interval: Definitions

$$\text{CD}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\iff (\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n \implies x_i \leq y_i \leq z_i \wedge \max\{y_i - x_i, z_i - y_i\} \leq w_i).$$

$$\text{LA}(f, \mathbf{y}, f^{lo}, f^{hi}, [(f_1^{lo}, f_1^{hi}); \dots; (f_n^{lo}, f_n^{hi})])$$

$$\iff \left( f^{lo} \leq f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f^{hi} \wedge (\forall i, f_i^{lo} \leq \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{y}) \leq f_i^{hi}) \right).$$

$$\text{B}_2(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, [[f_{1,1}^{lo}, f_{1,1}^{hi}]; [f_{2,1}^{lo}, f_{2,1}^{hi}; f_{2,2}^{lo}, f_{2,2}^{hi}]; \dots; [f_{n,1}^{lo}, f_{n,1}^{hi}; \dots; f_{n,n}^{lo}, f_{n,n}^{hi}]])$$

$$\iff \left( \forall \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \in [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}] \implies \left( \forall i, j, j \leq i \implies f_{i,j}^{lo} \leq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(\mathbf{p}) \leq f_{i,j}^{hi} \right) \right).$$

$$\text{TI}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}, f^{lo}, f^{hi}, d_{list}, dd_{list}) \iff \text{CD}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w})$$

$$\wedge f \in C^2([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}]) \wedge \text{LA}(f, \mathbf{y}, f^{lo}, f^{hi}, d_{list}) \wedge \text{B}_2(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, dd_{list}).$$

# Formal Taylor Interval: Operations

## Implemented operations

- Addition:  $+$
- Subtraction:  $-$
- Multiplication:  $\times$
- Division:  $/$
- Square root:  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$
- Arctangent:  $\arctan$
- Arccosine:  $\arccos$

# Formal Taylor Interval: Bounds

## Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{TI}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}, f^{lo}, f^{hi}, [d_1], [[dd_{1,1}]; [dd_{2,1}; dd_{2,2}]]) \\ & \wedge w_1|d_1| + w_2|d_2| \leq b \\ & \wedge w_1(w_1|dd_{1,1}|) + w_2(w_2|dd_{2,2}| + 2w_1|dd_{2,1}|) \leq e \\ & \wedge b + 2^{-1}e \leq a \wedge l \leq f^{lo} - a \wedge f^{hi} + a \leq h \\ & \implies (\forall \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \in [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}] \implies f(\mathbf{p}) \in [l, h]). \end{aligned}$$

$$|d_i| = |(f_i^{lo}, f_i^{hi})| = \max\{-f_i^{lo}, f_i^{hi}\}.$$

Analogous results hold for other dimensions and for bounds of partial derivatives.

# Solution Certificate

## A simplified OCaml definition of the solution certificate

```
Certificate =  
| Result_pass  
| Result_glue of int * Certificate * Certificate  
| Result_mono of bool * int * Certificate
```

No information about subdomains is explicitly given: subdomains can be reconstructed from a certificate.

## Verification procedure

- Find a formal Taylor interval for the current subdomain.
- Formally compute the upper bound for the Taylor interval.
- Verify that the upper bound is less than 0.
- Return a theorem of the form

$$\vdash \forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in D \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0.$$

## Result\_glue ( $j$ , Cert1, Cert2)

### Verification procedure

- Subdivide the current domain along the  $j$ -th coordinate.
- Verify the inequality for the first subdomain using Cert1.
- Verify the inequality for the second subdomain using Cert2.
- Glue the results with the theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdash (\forall i. i \neq j \implies \mathbf{c}_i^{(1)} = \mathbf{b}_i \wedge \mathbf{c}_i^{(2)} = \mathbf{a}_i) \\ & \wedge \mathbf{c}_j^{(1)} = \mathbf{y}_j \wedge \mathbf{c}_j^{(2)} = \mathbf{y}_j \\ & \wedge \left( \forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}^{(1)}] \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \right) \\ & \wedge \left( \forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{c}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}] \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \right) \\ & \implies \left( \forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Result\_mono (increasing, $j$ , Cert)

### Verification procedure

- Reduce the dimension of the current domain.
- Verify the inequality for the new domain with Cert.
- Formally estimate bounds of the  $j$ -th partial derivative on the full domain.
- Apply the theorem (for the increasing case):

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdash f \in C^2([\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]) \wedge (\forall i. i \neq j \implies \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{a}_i) \wedge \mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{b}_j \\ & \quad \wedge (\forall \mathbf{y}. \mathbf{y} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \implies 0 \leq f_j(\mathbf{y})) \\ & \quad \wedge (\forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}] \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0) \\ & \implies (\forall \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \implies f(\mathbf{x}) < 0) \end{aligned}$$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Verify  $x_1^3 + x_2 > -1.1$  when  $(x_1, x_2) \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] = [(-1, 0), (1, 1)]$ .

Equivalent problem:  $-1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2) < 0$  when  $(x_1, x_2) \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

### Solution Certificate

```
Mono 2 [  
  Glue 1 [  
    Glue 1 [  
      Pass (on [-1,-0.5] x [0,0]);  
      Pass (on [-0.5,0] x [0,0])  
    ];  
    Pass (on [0,1] x [0,0])  
  ]  
]
```



## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5))$ .

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5))$ .

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5))$ .

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (-0.5, 0), (-0.75, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, -0.5] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.06874$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5))$ .

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (-0.5, 0), (-0.75, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, -0.5] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.06874$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-0.5, 0), (0, 0), (-0.25, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-0.5, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.94367$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5))$ .

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (-0.5, 0), (-0.75, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, -0.5] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.06874$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-0.5, 0), (0, 0), (-0.25, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-0.5, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.94367$

**Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5))$ .

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (-0.5, 0), (-0.75, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, -0.5] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.06874$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-0.5, 0), (0, 0), (-0.25, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-0.5, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.94367$

**Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((0, 0), (1, 0), (0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [0, 1] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.1$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5)).$

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (-0.5, 0), (-0.75, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, -0.5] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.06874$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-0.5, 0), (0, 0), (-0.25, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-0.5, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.94367$

**Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((0, 0), (1, 0), (0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [0, 1] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.1$

**Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0$

## Example: A Simple Polynomial Inequality

Initial domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 0.5), (1, 0.5)).$

**Mono 2**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\lambda x. -1.1 - (x_1^3 + x_2)) p \leq 0$

Restricted domain:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (0, 0), (-0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Glue 1** Domain 1:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-1, 0), (-0.5, 0), (-0.75, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, -0.5] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.06874$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((-0.5, 0), (0, 0), (-0.25, 0), (0.25, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-0.5, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.94367$

**Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0$

Domain 2:  $\vdash \text{CD}((0, 0), (1, 0), (0.5, 0), (0.5, 0))$

**Pass**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [0, 1] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) \leq -0.1$

**Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 0] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0$

**Final Result**  $\vdash \forall p. p \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \implies -1.1 - (p_1^3 + p_2) < 0.$



# Performance Tests: Polynomial Inequalities

## Test Polynomial Problems

Prove  $m < p(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

- **schwefel**:  $(x_1 - x_2^2)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 + (x_1 - x_3^2)^2 + (x_3 - 1)^2$ ,  
 $m = -5.8806 \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $[a, b] = [(-10, -10, -10), (10, 10, 10)]$
- **lv**:  $x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_1x_4^2 - 1.1x_1 + 1$ ,  $m = -20.801$ ,  
 $[a, b] = [(-2, -2, -2, -2), (2, 2, 2, 2)]$
- **magnetism**:  $x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 2x_3^2 + 2x_4^2 + 2x_5^2 + 2x_6^2 + 2x_7^2 - x_1$ ,  
 $m = -0.25001$ ,  
 $[a, b] = [(-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)]$
- **heart**:  $-x_1x_6^3 + 3x_1x_6x_7^2 - x_3x_7^3 + 3x_3x_7x_6^2 - x_2x_5^3 + 3x_2x_5x_8^2 - x_4x_8^3 + 3x_4x_8x_5^2 - 0.9563453$ ,  $m = -1.7435$ ,  
 $[a, b] = [(-0.1, 0.4, -0.7, -0.7, 0.1, -0.1, -0.3, -1.1), (0.4, 1, -0.4, 0.4, 0.2, 0.2, 1.1, -0.3)]$

# Performance Tests: Polynomial Inequalities

**Table:** Test Results for Polynomial Inequalities in PVS and HOL Light

| Inequality ID | # variables | PVS Bernstein (s) | HOL Light (s) |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| schwefel      | 3           | 10.23             | 26.329        |
| lv            | 4           | 4.75              | 1.875         |
| magnetism     | 7           | 160.44            | 7.007         |
| heart         | 8           | 79.68             | 17.298        |

# Performance Tests: Flyspeck Inequalities

| Inequality ID | formal (s) | informal (s) |
|---------------|------------|--------------|
| 2485876245a   | 5.530      | 0            |
| 4559601669b   | 4.679      | 0            |
| 4717061266    | 27.1       | 0            |
| 5512912661    | 8.860      | 0.002        |
| 6096597438a   | 0.071      | 0            |
| 6843920790    | 2.824      | 0.002        |
| SDCCMGA b     | 9.012      | 0.006        |
| 7067938795    | 431        | 0.070        |
| 5490182221    | 1726       | 0.375        |
| 3318775219    | 17091      | 8.000        |

# Optimization Strategies

## Implemented optimization techniques

- Efficient natural number arithmetic which works with arbitrary base representations of numerals in HOL Light.
- Formal floating-point and interval arithmetic for real numbers in HOL Light.
- Cached arithmetic.
- Adaptive arithmetic precision.

## Future work

- Verification of groups of inequalities (on common subdomains).
- Do not recompute bounds of second partial derivative on small subdomains.
- Optimized evaluation of formal Taylor intervals.

Thank you!